Subject: The equivalence of energy and information in a natural Larsen loop

The phenomenology (Edmund Husserl) states that experience is the source of all knowledge. Intuitively we can experience reality without assigning it a special value or merit. A pitfall in a phenomenological world however is that by experience (empiricism) reality can seem to make sense as if it were an existing entity. For example being informed that something moves can be seen as a phenomenon. But the information transforms into energy plus mass when empirically, like beta scientists do, weight is assigned to the act of moving. From that moment beta scientists, usually positivists, are determined to see the transformation of information into energy plus mass as a fact. At that moment the positivists believe that the phenomenon is an existing entity, although even then it is still not more than an illusion. It is thus a dogmatic fact and return into the real world of phenomena - wherein at best someone does nothing at all – requires a paradigm shift. More generalized it means that beta scientific products, obtained by experience, are specifically human and dogmatic, and definitely not natural. For example there is no doubt that one day physics will disappear together with man. But nature will continue to exist as thing on its own (Kant). Physics therefore is an air castle (Abelard) that lasts as long as it takes. Below we review some of the most eye catching beta-scientific misconceptions. The source of these of course are the senses, as can be expected.

Suppose you are positivist and one day you decide that the things you visually perceive have a certain mass. Sure is that from a phenomenological point of view you overestimate the mass with an order of magnitude because the eye by itself already is a product of phenomenology. The sensory part of the eye is a flat 3-D surface (10^3) mounted in a 4-D 3-Sphere (10^4) which reduces the observed mass with a factor 10. Chance that mass exists is therefore less than 5 percent ($p<0.1/2$). The factor p represents here the absolute statistical probability that phenomena definitely posses no mass ($p>0.95$). This calculation is by no means hypothetical because classical physicists underscore the result. They empirically have established that more than 95% of the universal mass is missing. The conclusion therefore must be that there is no such thing as mass indeed. The physicists see what is called an illusion because they have assigned to much significance to the phenomenon, and the p-value is an expression of that. The p value shows us that a phenomenon disappears at the very moment that it shows up. p Shows us also that thinking by itself that something moves is already an illusion. Because phenomena don't move when they disappear at the same moment that they show up. That agrees also with Aristotle's unmoved mover. And that suggests that the universe neither has a beginning nor ending.

Long time ago the Eleat-ics showed with their arrow paradox that things are stationary in a world of phenomenons. Positivists still doubt that and think that everything is in motion. Thanks to singularity H which, positivists think, once created the universe. But if so in that instance singularity H also must have been idle in the beginning. Neither expansion nor moving are therefore valid options for singularity H. Therefore, once the singularity becomes active, its surplus negative 4-D virtual scalar energy (eg like capacitance 1/C) must be fed back as positive 2-D kinetic energy (eg like current C). Hence $H.\Delta H = -1/H.\Delta H$, which means that $H^2=-1$. Singularity H is therefore simultaneously real and imaginary, or, as we concluded above, H disappears at the moment of showing up. String theoreticians seem to have forgotten this basic rule that action is minus reaction. The rule simply predicts us (see above) that string physicists must end up with 2 possible results: i) gravity (energy) because mass does not exist and a total of 10 real universes, or ii) gravity and a single negative imaginary world which they can't get rid of a minus sign.

Singularity H exists and exists not, things therefore don't move. This fair phenomenological result shows that senses indeed deceive the positivist. The latter don't realize that moving and

changing are different entities (Leibniz), as such one must not assign these entities too much value or merit in a phenomenological environment. For example suppose that singularity H creates a virtual 4-D 3-Sphere when becoming active in 3-D. It means that H potentially is able to create -4/3 x Pi Calorie per gram- or mole H (-4.18 Cal/Joule) when indeed everything is stationary. For this calculation we supposed that in 3-D the potential expansion of a negative virtual 4-D space (calorie, Coulomb) is counteracted by the potential supply of positive kinetic energy from 2-D[1]. When beta scientists intermingle with these energies they empirically find of course the opposing anti-derivative 4.14 Joule/Cal (see textbook) because they can study only one parameter at a time. Thus once again we find that H = -1/H because everything is stationary in a phenomenological world, scientists included. By interfering with the 3-D phenomenons nature is forcing the exchange of scientific caloric or kinetic energy against, respectively, its own natural kinetic- or caloric energy. This forced shift of identity shows that scientist are fully determined by the free will of nature[1].

The human genome[2] is the place to be to prove that energy and information are equivalent, and to prove that nature determines the empiric results of beta scientists like molecular biologist. We know for example that molecular biologists have shown that about 80% of the genome is active. Something however is active or not active, the biologists therefore probably mean to say that about 80% of the genome consumes energy. For example bonding energy ΔH, which is abundant present in the genome. For everything to be stationary we furthermore assume that energy ($\Delta H=18pm$) and RNA mass energy H ($=6\Delta H$ or 108pm) annihilate each other. Thus each 5/6 surface energy (83.3%, calorie) supplied to the genome is counteracted by 1/6 change of mass H of RNA (calorie). PCR achieves the reverse and opposing[1]. Anyway over and over nothing happens because 83.3 /10 equals the empiric 3-D gas constant of -8.31 Joule/Cal (or per mole H, textbook). And the two 3-D surface DNA strands generate the sum of 4.14 + 4.19 (=8.3, see above) Calorie/Joule to annihilate that information[1]. Thus energy is equivalent with information in the genome. The current energy supplied by the biologists is exchanged into positive capacitance by nature, the actions of the biologists are therefore determined by nature. The calculation furthermore shows that 50% information is exchanged against 50% energy, which means that 100% of the genome is active. We conclude that the supposed RNA/DNA information exchange is nothing else but a human metaphysical illusion. Something that goes as long as it lasts. Nature allowed the molecular biologists to created their science step by step in the past 50 years.

In short, well informed nature is sovereign (Spinoza) and very well able to balance its own energy. In contrast, mankind uses that same energy to transform it into its own determined information. As such man will never get a hold on the "Thing on itself" (Kant). Thus - vanity precedes the fall - all the efforts man undertakes are doomed to fail. Basically because he does not understand what he is doing (Socrates, Sextus Empiricus). The best thing man therefore can do is nothing at all.

J Bijman
Zoetermeer

1) Consider the algorithms $3x6\Delta H+ 4\Delta H = 22\Delta H$ (2-D) and $-\frac{1}{2}$ x $(8x6\Delta H - 4\Delta H) = -22\Delta H$ (4-D) as basic components of a 4D 3-Sphere. Singularity H disappears in 2-D and pops up simultaneously in 4-D, which creates the phenomenon of a 3-D mismatch between the numbers that are going back and forth. At least, when someone doesn't pay it too much of attention. When scientists however interfere in 3D with this phenomenon they allow H to disappear in 4-D and simultaneously to pop up in 2-D. It means there is no mismatch anymore but now the surplus energy is positively feed back (Larsen effect) in the 3-Sphere while generating for example the following illusions:

2-D:	3-D:	4-D:
$3x7\Delta H+1\Delta H = 22\Delta H$	$-\frac{1}{2}$ x $(7x6\Delta H-4\Delta H) = -19\Delta H$	$10^5 x(1/(1+0.036)-4\Delta H.10^{-4}) = 96485$
$Pi = \Pi + \frac{1}{2}$ x $1/4\Delta H$ x $10^{-2} = 22/7$	$e = e - 4\Delta H.10^{-3} = 19/7$	(Faraday = 96585C)

In fact numerous illusions can now evolve out of the empty 3-D zero-space, as long as they do make sense (Bhaskara I). Shown are the constants Pi, the base number e of natural logarithm and the unit of Coulomb. Thus, by interference the phenomenon becomes a frame for scientists, to let them discover things that do make sense (Weick). Other illusions are for example the constants $e^{1/2}$ – $4\Delta H.10^{-2} = 1.61$ and $1/0.61 = 0.61$. These constants were important to let Fibonacci and Euler discover their mathematics. In the end it will show that all constants, even the time-second as it is generated by the Larsen loop, physics, mathematics and the like are all man-specific. These disciplines disappear with man. But nature pays a price because scientists disturb the energy balance of nature (Heidegger, Jaspers). Because $4\Delta H$, the representative of singularity H, is sent around as energy/information loop (Larsen effect).

1) The algorithms $3 \times 6\Delta H + 4\Delta H = 22\Delta H$ (2D) and $-\frac{1}{2} \times (8 \times 6\Delta H - 4\Delta H) = -22\Delta H$ (4-D) show how the virtual -4dH negative surplus energy is fed back as 2-D positive $4\Delta H$ energy (RNA) into 3-D. Hence, nothing happens while everything stays put. However, the act of ΔH disappearing in 4-D while simultaneously showing up in 2-D generates the phenomenon of an active genome in 3-D. Simply because the numbers going back and forth don't match (Poincaré). An averaged man would not give it too much of attention, but the molecular biologist does. For them the phenomenon therefore becomes a frame. The actions of the molecular biologist are now determined by nature because nature is going to show him how and where to find the necessary components. To let him think that the frame makes sense (Weick). Thus, $4\Delta H$ gets substituted by RNA, and the 4-D space transforms into two (sense and anti sense because nothing happens) DNA strands. That model makes sense for the biologist. RNA is fed as positive current into the genome (electrons run to the negative ribosomes) and, following a change of identity, this current continues along the DNA strand as negative capacitance C. The other strand is filled with positive anti-sense capacitance 1/C by nature (Larsen loop, the loop also gives man a notion of time (for the mechanization of his world image, Dijksterhuis)). Here the free will of nature becomes sovereign over the will of the molecular biologist. Together the strands furthermore are an imaginary point-shaped di-electric vacuum (nothingness), which allows any illusion to escape from this vacuum (Bhaskara I). Of course as long as the illusion does make sense (usually it is discovered by surprise or serendipity). For the calculations the factors 0.1 $(10^3/10^4)$ and 2 are important. Finally, once the biologists finish the creation of the genome - an illusion being the 'incarnation' of a phenomenon - it will count 19600 genes $((1.2/1.1) \times 18000 – 40)$.